SPIN TRAPPING REACTIONS WITH NITRIC OXIDES. III. ALKOXYALKYLNITROXIDES AND NEW NITROGEN CEN-TERED RADICALS

A. Rockenbauer^{*}, M. Győr and F. Tüdős[†]

Central Research Institute for Chemistry of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest P.O.Box 17, Hungary

Talso: Eötvös Loránd University, Department of Chemical Technology, H-1088 Budapest, Múzeum krt. 6-8, Hungary

Abstract: Subsequent trapping of photochemically generated alkyl and alkoxy radicals by nitric oxide yields alkoxyalkylnitroxide radicals. New types of nitrogen centered radicals have been detected and tentatively explained by reactions of nitrogen oxides N_2O , NO, NO_2 , N_2O_3 , N_2O_4 with alkyl and peroxide radicals.

In the preceding papers we investigated the spin trapping reactions of nitric oxide when alkyl radicals are generated photochemically¹, and when peroxy radicals are formed by oxidation of alkyl radicals². In the present paper we account for our results of trapping alkoxy radicals with nitric oxide. We also report observation of a few other non-stable nitrogen centered radicals formed by scavenging alkyl and peroxy radicals with different compounds of nitrogen and oxygen, like N₀O, N₀, N₀O₂, N₀O₂ etc., which can be present in the course of generation of nitric oxide³, particularly, when oxygen is not completely purged out of the reactor.

The same experimental apparatus (JEOL-JES-FE-3X spectrometer) and the same technique of generating nitric oxide was applied as earlier¹. Radicals were generated in hydrocarbon solvents (RH) by anthraquinone (AQ) in its photoexcited triplet state and by photolysis of di-tbutylperoxide (DTBP). Electron Spin Resonance spectra were recorded both in the course of irradiation and thereafter at low temperature (between -50 °C and -80 °C).

At low temperature and in deaerated solvents containing dissolved nitric oxide and DTBP, the characteristic signal⁴ of alkoxyalkylnitroxide radicals (AANO) can be observed during irradiation. The spectroscopic parameters of radicals are given in Table 1. The intensity of this signal is significantly larger than that of the dialkylnitroxides (R₂NO) during irradiation, but in the dark this signal disappears fast.

After an induction period, the build up of AANO and R₂NO is accelerated, which shows the formation of alkylnitroso scavenger:

where BuO^{*} stands for the butoxy radical.

Table **1.** Spectroscopic parameters of radicals detected by applying nitric oxides as scavengers

***** 'Adoublet structure of 0.041 mT was detected, which was interpreted as a superposition of two signals with different g values due to the asymmetry of signal.

The decay rate of AANO was found to decrease in dark if the NO concentration is small, i.e., the nitric oxide can react with the nitroxide radicals:

$$
t-BuO(R)NO^{*} + NO \implies t-BuO(R)NONO. \qquad (6)
$$

In the experiments, where radicals were generated by AQ, AANO signal was not detected. If oxygen was admitted into the reactor, when nitric oxide was formed, and this gas mixture was admitted into previously degassed ethylbenzene and cumene solvents containing either AQ or DTBP, new types of signals could be detected at low temperature after short W irradiation. The spectral parameters are also given in Table 1 for radicals V-VIII. These radicals are not stable under UV irradiation and disappear after a few minutes. In dark, however, these radicals are more persistent than the AANO radicals.

An additional type of radical (IX in Table 1) was also observed in cumene if nitric oxide is admitted into air saturated solvent containing either AQ or DTBP. In this case the large oxygen concentration converts all alkyl radicals into peroxides, which prevents formation of R_2N0 and acylalkylnitroxides (ARNO), and after prolonged UV irradiation a spectrum of 18 lines with identical intensity appears. This signal is rather stable below -50 $^{\circ}$ C in dark. If only trace amount of oxygen is present, this signal also appears, but its intensity is much smaller than that of the ARNO radical.

In order to assign tentatively the above radicals, we made a compilation of literature data for the g values and a_N hyperfine constants of different nitrogen centered radicals⁴⁻¹⁸ (we use the term "nitrogen centered" for radicals, where a significant portion of spin density is localized on the nitrogen atom). The most characteristic values in organic solvents are given in Table 2. According to this Table, the nitroxide and aminyl (or amidyl) radicals of similar structure can be easily distinguished by their g values. The small g values of radicals V-VII, e.g., are typical for aminyl radicals, but the a_N values are much larger than

Compound	g	$a_N^{}$ in mT	$a^{\prime\prime}_N$	Ref	Compound		a_N in mT	a_N^\prime	Ref
					$^{\circ}$				
$\dot{\text{RNR}}$	2.0048	1.5		(5)	RNR	2.0060	1.5		(6)
\int_{-C-NR}^{Q}	2.0052	1.5		(7)	\int_{-C-NR}^{0}	2.0066	0.7		(8)
					\int				
$\dot{\rm \textsc{r}}$	2.0040	1.5		(9)	RNOR	2.0052	2.7		(4)
\int_{-C-NOR}	2.0058	1.1		(10)					
$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$	2.0030	1.0		(11)	$>c=\stackrel{0}{N}$	2.0062	3.0		(12)
$RN = N$	2,0005	2.2	1.0	(13)	\circ ['] $RN = N$	2.0015	3.0	0.2	(14)
$C=N-NR$	2.0035	1.1	0.75	(15)					
R_2N-NR	2.0038	1.1	0.9	(16)	R'_2N-NR \circ	2.0052	1.2	0.2 (17)	
					RN-NO	2.0058	1.0	0.35(18)	
Here R stands for alkyl, aryl groups or for hydrogen atom.									

Table 2. Compilation of spectroscopic parameters for different nitrogen centered radicals in organic solvents

was measured for aminyl radicals. We think the large $a_{_{\rm N}}$ value can occur, when two oxygens are bonded to the nitrogen, which can give significant contribution to the s-p hybridization of nitrogen orbitals. At least, it is the case both for AANO and $RNO₂$ anion radicals¹⁹. Namely, radical V can be interpreted as a dialkoxyaminyl radical. As the same spectrum was obtained for radical V in cumene and ethylbenzene and no additional hyperfine splitting was observed except an asymmetric doublet with 0.041 mT separation (it was assigned to a superposition of radicals Va and Vb), we assign radical V to diacyloxyaminyl radical, where the two ground conformations have slightly different parameter sets:

Analogous reactions between NO_2 and peroxide radicals, that we observed between NO and peroxide 2 , can yield to the formation of radical V.

In the case of radicals VI and VII, additional hyperfine splittings were observed from a second nitrogen and a hydrogen. These radicals can be formed either by addition reaction of NO and an NO_2^- -alkyl adduct (alkylnitrite):

$$
RONO + NO \longrightarrow RONONO \tag{7}
$$

or by alkyl radical trapping of N_2O_3

$$
R^* + N_2O_3 \longrightarrow RONONO. \tag{8}
$$

According to Table 2, the g values are typically larger by 0.001 for nitroxides, than for the analogous aminyls, thus radical VIII can be a nitroxide analogue of VII, which can be formed by two ways: \mathbf{A}

$$
RONO + NO_2 \longrightarrow RONONO
$$
 (9)

$$
R^* + N_2O_4 \longrightarrow RONONO
$$
 (10)

In the spectrum of radical IX, two nitrogens give comparable splitting, which is typical for hydrazyls, but the g=2.0063 value shows this radical should be an aminonitroxide. The large hydrogen coupling can be assigned to an NH hydrogen of the NO group and the lack of additional splitting makes it probable, that the amino group is substituted by two acyl groups:

The two acyl substituents can strongly modify the spin distribution of the two nitrogen atoms, which can explain the anomalous ratio of nitrogen couplings. The precursor of radical IX may be an aminonitroso formed by a reaction of peroxy radicals and N_2 0, which can abstract hydrogen in its excited triplet state from the solvent.

References:

1. M. Győr, A. Rockenbauer and F. Tüdős: will be published in Tetrahedron Letters

- 2. A.Rockenbauer, M.Győr and F.Tüdős: will be published in Tetrahedron Letters
- **3.** W.A.Waters: The Chemistry of Free Radicals, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1948, p.62-79.
- **4.** A.Mackor, Th.A.J.W.Wajer, Th.J.de Boer and J.D.W.van Voorst: Tetrahedron Letters,385 (1967).
- **5.** J.R.Roberts and K.U.Ingold: J.Am.Chem.Soc., 95, 3228 (1973).
- **6.** S.Terabe and R.Konaka: J.Chem.Soc. Perkin II., 369 (1973).
- 7. W.C.Danen and R.W.Gellert: J.Am.Chem.Soc., 94, 6853 (1972).
- **8.** A.Mackor, Th.A.J.W.Wajer and Th.J.de Boer: Tetrahedron, 26, 1623 (1968).
- **9.** W.C.Danen, C.T.West and T.T.Kensler: J.Am.Chem.Soc., 95, 5716 (1973).

10. A.R.Forrester, J.Henderson, E.M.Johansson and R.H.Thomson: Tetrahedron Letters,5139 (1978).

- 11. R.W.Fessenden: Chem. Phys.Letters, 29, 364 (1974).
- **12.** K.U.Ingold and S.Brownstein: J.Am.Chem.Soc., 97, 1817 (1975).
- **13.** T.Suehiro, T.Tashiro and R.Nakausa: Chem.Lett., 1339 (1980).
- **14.** J.I.G.Cadogan, R.M.Paton and C.Thomson: J.Chem.Soc. B. 583 **(1971).**
- **15.** W.Ahrens and A.Berndt: Tetrahedron Letters, 3741 (1974).
- **16.** L.Lunazzi and K.U.Ingold: J.Am.Chem.Soc., 96, 5558 (1974).
- **17.** V.Malatesta and K.U.Ingold: Tetrahedron Letters, 3311 (1973).
- 18. A.T.Balaban, N.Negoita and R.Baican: J.Magn.Reson., **9**, 1 (1973).
- **19.** E.W.Stone and A.H,.Maki: J.Chem.Phys., 37, 1326 (1962).

(Received in UK 19 **May 1986)**

or